

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/529,993	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UENSAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> EUGENIA WANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

**All Participants:**

(1) EUGENIA WANG.

(2) Alexander Akhiezer.

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

26, 48, 51, and 53

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Eugenia Wang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 1/7/10: Claim amendments as well as formality issues were discussed in hopes of approving an Examiner's Amendment to put the application in condition for allowance. In follow-up interviews, Applicant's Representative gave Examiner to make the changes as discussed with respect to the claims (in a message left on 1/12/10) as well as to the disclosure (interviews on 1/14/10 and 1/19/10). See Examiner's Amendment for such changes.